# ON THE CONTROL OF TRANSLATIONAL ROTATIONAL MOTION OF A SOLID BODY* 

## D.V. LEBEDEV

The problem of control of the translational-rotational motion of a solid body induced by a system of $n$ forces by controlling its apparent velocity is investigated. Algorithms which ensure asymptotic stability of such motion in the presence of constraints on the control parameters are presented. Generalized structures of algorithms for calculating the motion parameters of the solid body, which are necessary for determining the required control actions, are synthesized on the basis of available integral information about its motion.

1. Statement of the problem. We introduce two right-hand orthogonal bascs, viz. base Erigidly attached to the solid body, whose axes do not generally coincide with the body principal central axes of inertia, and the inertial base $I$. In the equations

$$
\mathbf{r}^{\prime}=\mathbf{V}, \quad \mathbf{V}^{-}=\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{r})
$$

of motion of the solid body center of mass in the inertial space we shall consider the apparent velocity $W$, i.e. the remainder of velocity $\mathbf{V}$ and its component $V_{g}$ induced by the acceleration of gravity $g(r) / 1 /$. In the base $\mathbf{E}$ vector $\mathbf{W}$ conforms to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime}=a-6 \times w \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{a}$ is the representation of the apparent acceleration vector $\mathbf{A}$ in $\mathbf{E}$, and $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ is the vector of the body angular rotation velocity whose variation in time is defined by Euler's dynamic equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
J \omega^{\circ}+\omega \because J \omega=\mathbf{M} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the assumption that motion of the hody is controlled by a system of $n$ forces of the form

$$
\mathbf{F}_{i}=\mathbf{f}_{i} u_{i}, \quad\left|u_{i}\right| \leqslant 1
$$

where $f_{i}$ are vectors stationary in $E, u_{i}$ control parameters, and the subscript $i$ assumes here and subsequently the values $1,2, \ldots, n ; n \geqslant 6$. Note that vectors and $M$ are definedin region $U$ by the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{a}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{a}_{i} u_{i}, \quad \mathbf{M}=\sum_{t=1}^{n} \mathbf{m}_{i} u_{i} ; \quad U=\left\{\mathbf{u}:\left|u_{i}\right| \leqslant \mathbf{1}\right\}  \tag{1.3}\\
& \mathbf{( \mathbf { a } _ { i } = m ^ { - 1 } \mathbf { f } _ { i } , \quad \mathbf { m } _ { i } = \boldsymbol { \rho } _ { i } \times \mathbf { f } _ { i } )}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m$ is the mass of the body, and $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ is the position vector of the point of application of force $\mathrm{F}_{i}$.

We specify in the inertial space $I$ the apparent velocity vector $W_{*}$ and in base $\mathbf{E}$ the fixed unit vector $\xi$.

We have the problem of formulating control $u$ under the constraint $u \in U$ so as to impart to the rigid body the apparent velocity $W$ which would coincide in the inertial space with $W_{*}$ and be collinear with the unit vector $\xi$ in base $\mathbf{E}$.
2. Synthesis of the control algorithm. The problem stated above reduces to that of controlling two processes, viz. the uniaxial orientation of the solid body along the fixed in $I$ s-direction of the unit vector $s=\mathbf{W}_{*} /\left\|\mathbf{W}_{*}\right\|$, and the process of imparting to the body the specified magnitude of the apparent velocity $\left\|\mathbf{W}_{*}\right\|$ along the direction. We construct $u$ so as to simultaneously control both processes.

Note that the motion of unit vector $s$ in base $E$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{\circ}=-\omega \times s \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We introduce vector $\mathbf{w}=\mathbf{W}-\mathbf{W}_{*}$. Taking into account that vector $\mathbf{W}_{*}$, which is stationary in $I$, is time dependent in base $E$ in conformity with the equation

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{W}_{*}^{*}=-\omega \times \mathbf{W}_{*} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

we obtain from (1.1) and (2.2) that $\mathbf{w}$ conforms in $\mathbf{E}$ to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{w}^{\cdot}=\mathbf{a}-\omega \times \mathbf{w} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The problem formulated in Sect.l is solved when the control $u \in U$ brings the body to the state

$$
\mathrm{w}=0, \quad \xi=\mathrm{s}, \quad \omega=0
$$

is obtained.
We introduce the Liapunov function of the form

$$
2 V=\mu(\xi-s)^{2}+\omega^{\prime} J \omega+v w^{\prime} w, \quad \mu>0, \quad v>0
$$

which is positive for $\mathbf{w} \neq 0, \xi \neq \mathbf{s}, \boldsymbol{\omega} \neq 0$ and vanishes in the equilibrium position of (2.4) of system (1.2), (2.1), (2.3). The time derivative of $V$ is by virtue of Eqs. (1.2), (2.1) and (2.3) of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{\prime}=\omega^{\prime}(\mathbf{M}-\mu \xi \times s)+\nu \mathbf{w}^{\prime} \mathbf{a} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming that vectors $M$ and $a$ in (2.5) are, respectively,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{M} \equiv \mathbf{M}_{*}=K \omega+\mu \xi \times \mathbf{s}, \quad K<0, \quad \mathbf{M}_{*}=\left\{M_{j}^{*}\right\}  \tag{2.6}\\
& \mathbf{a} \equiv \mathbf{a}_{\boldsymbol{*}}=Q \mathbf{w}, \quad Q<0, \quad \mathbf{a}_{*}=\left\{a_{j}{ }^{*}\right\} \quad(j=1,2,3)
\end{align*}
$$

we have instead of (2.5)

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{\prime}=\omega^{\prime} K \omega+\nu \mathbf{w}^{\prime} Q \mathbf{w} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose right-hand side is, as a function of vector $y=\left\{\mathbf{w}^{\prime}, \mathbf{s}^{\prime}, \omega^{\prime}\right\}$, negative and of constant sign, since it vanishes not only in the equilibrium position (2.4) of the system considered here but, also, on the set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y=Y_{1} \cup Y_{2} ; \quad Y_{1}=\{\mathrm{y}: \mathbf{w}=\omega=0, \quad \mathrm{~s}=-\xi\} \\
& Y_{2}=\{\mathrm{y}: \mathbf{w}=\omega=0, \quad \mathrm{~s} \neq \pm \xi\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $Y_{1}$ represents the unstable equilibrium position of system (1.2), (2.1), (2.3) and the set $Y_{2}$ does not contain entire trajectories, control (2.6) guarantees according to the Barbashin-Krasovskii theorem the asymptotic stability position (2.4).

We have the problem of selecting the control $u$ that would yield vectors $\mathbf{M}_{*}$ and $\mathbf{a}_{*}$ in conformity with algorithm (2.6).

Let the weight coefficients in the first of relations (2.6) be selected so that the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M}_{*} \in \mathbf{M}_{\omega}=\left\{\mathbf{M}:\left|u_{i}\right| \leqslant 1\right\} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied in the considered variation range of $s$ and $\omega$.
The problem of distribution of required control actions between parameters $u_{i}$ can then be reduced to the problem of linear programming: determine parameters $u_{i}$ which under linear constraints of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{m}_{i} u_{i}=\mathbf{M}_{*}, \quad \lambda \times \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{a}_{i} u_{i}=0, \quad \lambda=\frac{\mathbf{a}_{*}}{\left\|\mathbf{a}_{*}\right\|} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

would provide the maximum of the linear form $l=\mathbf{a}^{\prime} \lambda$

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{*}=\max _{\mathrm{u} \in \mathrm{U}} l \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The obtained from the solution of problen (2.9), (2.10) values of $l_{*}$ and the parameters of control $u_{i}{ }^{\circ}$ are then used for calculating the unknown control parameters $u_{i} / 2 /$.

$$
u_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{i}{ }^{0}, x>1 \\
x u_{i}, 0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1
\end{array}, \quad x=l_{*}^{-1}\left\|a_{*}\right\|\right.
$$

Note that when $\boldsymbol{x}>\mathbf{1}$ formula (2.7) assumes the form $\boldsymbol{V}=\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\prime} K \boldsymbol{\omega}+\gamma \mathbf{w}^{\prime} Q \mathbf{w}, \gamma=v l_{*}\|Q \mathbf{w}\|>0$ which with $K<0$ and $Q<0$ ensures that the system tends to the equilibrium position (2.4).
3. Calculation of the solid body motion parameters. To determine the required values of vectors $\mathbf{M}_{*}$ and $\boldsymbol{a}_{*}$ in conformity with algorithm (2.6) it is necessary to have information about the current state of system (1.2), (2.1), (2.3) in base $\mathbf{E}$. If the relative
position of bases $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{I}$ is defined by matrix $X$ of directional cosines, then the 1 present ation $\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{E}}$ in base $\mathbf{E}$ of vector $\mathbf{s}$ known in $\mathbf{I}$ is defined by the relation $\mathrm{s}_{\mathbf{E}} \mathrm{X}$. Let information on the motion of body in base $\mathbf{E}$ of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{p}_{q+1}=\int_{t_{q}}^{t_{q}+h} \omega(\tau) d \tau \quad(h=\text { const }, q=0,1, \ldots) \\
& \mathbf{b}_{N+1}=\int_{i_{v}}^{t_{N^{-}} H} \mathbf{a}(\tau) d \tau \quad(I I=\text { const, } N=0,1, \ldots,
\end{align*}
$$

be measurable. In conformity with this information for the problems of calculation of the solid body motion parameters are dedicated, for example, the papers $/ 3-5 /$.

Using the apparatus of Lie algebra and Lie groups, we investigate the possibility of obtaining a general structure of algorithms for calculating parameters of solid body orientation and its apparent velocity.

The Poisson equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{\cdot}=-\Omega(t) X, \quad X\left(t_{0}\right)=X_{0} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose skew-symmetric matrix $\Omega(t)$ composed of projections of vector $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ on the axes of base $\mathbf{E}$ can be represented in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \omega_{\alpha}(t) A_{\alpha}\right) X \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant malrices

$$
A_{1}=\left|\begin{array}{rrr}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right|, \quad A_{2}=\left|\begin{array}{rrr}
0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right|, \left.\quad A_{3}=\begin{array}{cccc}
i & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array} \right\rvert\,
$$

satisfy the equalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A_{1}, \quad A_{2}\right|=-A_{3},\left|A_{1}, A_{3}\right|=A_{2},\left|A_{2}, A_{3}\right|=-A_{1} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(\left[A_{i}, A_{j}\right]=A_{i} A_{j}-A_{j} A_{i}\right.$ are Lie brackets), form the basis $L$ of Lie algebra.
We seek a solution of Eq. (3.3) in the form of the product of two matrices

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(t)=S(t) X_{0} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for small $\left|t-t_{0}\right|$ matrix $S(t)$ is defined by the formula/6,7/

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(t)=\prod_{\alpha=1}^{3} \exp \left(g_{\alpha}(t) A_{\alpha}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since on the other hand $S(t)$ is an orthogonal matrix, it can be represented in the form 181

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(t)=-\exp \Phi(t) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose skew-symmetric matrix $\Phi(t)$ we represent in the torm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(t)=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \varphi_{a}(t) A_{\alpha} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For establishing the relation of elements $\varphi_{\alpha}$ of matrix $\Phi(t)$ and functions $g_{\alpha}$ we use the Campbell-Hausdorf formula (e.g., /9/) according to which $e^{4} e^{B}$ is represented in the form $e^{C}$. and in the case of $A B \neq B A$

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=A+B+\frac{1}{2}[A, B]+\frac{1}{12}[A,[A, B]]+\frac{1}{12}[B,[B . A]]+\ldots \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

since from (3.6)-(3.9) and equalities (3.4) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{1}=g_{1}-\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1} g_{2} g_{3}-\frac{1}{12} g_{1}\left(g_{2}{ }^{2}+g_{3}{ }^{2}\right)-\ldots \quad(123) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where (123) indicates that expressions for $\varphi_{2}, \varphi_{3}$ are obtauned from (3.10) by cyclic transposim tion of subscripts, and $\sigma_{1}=-\sigma_{2}=\sigma_{3}=1$.

If $\varphi_{\alpha}(\alpha=1,2,3)$ are considered as coordinates of vector $\varphi$, the relation between $\varphi \alpha$ and matrix $S$ of directional cosines is defined by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=E-\frac{\sin \varphi}{\varphi} \Phi+\frac{1-\cos \varphi}{\varphi^{2}} \Phi^{2}, \quad \varphi=\left(\varphi^{\prime} \varphi\right)^{1^{2}} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the equations which define functions $g_{\alpha}(t)(\alpha=1,2,3)$ are obtained by differentiating (3.5) with respect to time and the use of formula $/ 6,7 /$

$$
e^{A} B e^{-A}=B+[A, B]+\frac{1}{2}[A,[A, B]]+\frac{1}{6}[A,[A,[A, B]]]+\ldots
$$

which holds for $A, B \cong L$, and in this case coincide, apart the notation, with traditional kinematic equations of solid body motion in terms of Krylov's angles /3/.

Let us assume that the angular velocity in the neighborhood of point $t=t_{0}$ can be represented by the Taylor series

$$
\omega(t)=\omega_{0}+\omega_{0} \cdot \tau+1 / 2 \omega_{0}{ }^{*} \tau^{2}+\ldots, \quad \tau=t-t_{0}, \quad \omega_{0}^{(s)}=\omega^{(s)}\left(t_{0}\right)
$$

with vector $\varphi$ represented then by the series

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi(t)=\mathrm{p}(t)+\frac{1}{12} \Omega_{0} \omega_{0}{ }^{*} \tau^{3}+\frac{1}{24} \Omega_{0} \omega_{0}{ }^{*} \tau^{4}+\frac{1}{720}\left(9 \Omega_{0} \omega_{0}+\right. \\
& \left.6 \Omega_{0} \omega_{0}{ }^{* *}+\Omega_{0}{ }^{2} \omega_{0}{ }^{*}-\Omega_{0}{ }^{3} \omega_{0}{ }^{*} \mid 3 \Omega_{0}{ }^{2} \omega_{0}\right) \tau^{5}+\cdots \\
& \Omega_{0}=\Omega\left(t_{0}\right), \quad \mathbf{p}(t)=\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \omega(\zeta) d \zeta=\omega_{0} \tau+\frac{1}{2} \omega_{0}{ }^{\cdot} \tau^{2}+\frac{1}{6} \omega_{0}{ }^{*} \tau^{3}+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking into account that the primary information (3.1) is received at discrete instants of time, we seek a solution of Eq. (3.2) of the form $X_{q+k}=S_{q+k} X_{q}$, whexe $S_{q+k}$ are increments of the matrix of directional cosines induced by motion of the body during the step $k h(k=1$, 2, ...).

The introduction of notation

$$
\mathbf{p}_{\text {r.i }}=\mathbf{p}_{q+I}-\mathbf{p}_{q+i}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{q+v}=\operatorname{sign} v \int_{i_{q}}^{t_{q}^{+} v h} \omega(\tau) d \tau
$$

enables us to define the general structure of algorithms of third order accuracy in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{q+k}=p_{q+k}+\xi p_{r_{1, i 1}} \times p_{j_{1, j 1}} \quad \xi=k^{3} /\left(6 \mu_{11}\right), \quad \mu_{11} \neq 0 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and specify fourth order of simplest algorithms by the formula

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi_{q+k}=p_{q+k}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\otimes} \xi_{\alpha} p_{I \alpha, j \alpha} \times p_{y \alpha, j \alpha}  \tag{3.13}\\
& \xi_{1}=\frac{k^{3}}{12} \frac{2 \mu_{22}-3 k \mu_{12}}{\mu_{11} \mu_{22}-\mu_{12} \mu_{21}}, \quad \xi_{2}=\frac{h^{3}}{12} \frac{3 h_{11}-2 \mu_{12}}{\mu_{11} \mu_{22}-\mu_{12} \mu_{21}}
\end{align*}
$$

with errors over the step $k$ equal, respectively,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta \varphi_{q+h}=\frac{h^{3}}{72 \mu_{12}}\left(2 \mu_{1 z}-3 k \mu_{11}\right) \Omega_{q} \omega_{q}{ }^{*} h^{4}+O\left(h^{5}\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[10\left(\mu_{14} \xi_{1}+\mu_{21} \xi_{2}\right)-k^{5}\right] \Omega_{i q} \omega_{Q}{ }^{*}-}
\end{aligned}
$$

The following notation has been introduced in formulas (3.12)-(3.14):

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu_{\alpha \beta}=\left|i_{\alpha} I_{\alpha}\right|\left(i_{\alpha}^{\beta}-I_{\alpha}^{\beta}\right)-\left|I_{\alpha} j_{\alpha}\right|\left(I_{\alpha}^{\beta}-j_{\alpha}^{\beta}\right)- \\
\left.\left|I_{\alpha} J_{\alpha}\right|\left(I_{\alpha}{ }^{\beta}-J_{\alpha}^{B}\right)-\left.\left|i_{\alpha} j_{\alpha}\right|\right|_{\alpha} ^{\beta}-j_{\alpha}^{\beta}\right) \\
\mu_{\alpha}=\left|i_{\alpha} I_{\alpha}\right| i_{\alpha} I_{\alpha}\left(i_{\alpha}-I_{\alpha}\right)+\left|I_{\alpha} j_{\alpha}\right| I_{\alpha} j_{\alpha}\left(I_{\alpha}-j_{\alpha}\right)- \\
\left|I_{\alpha} J_{\alpha}\right| I_{\alpha} J_{\alpha}\left(I_{\alpha}-J_{\alpha}\right)-\left|i_{\alpha} j_{\alpha}\right| i_{\alpha} j_{\alpha}\left(i_{\alpha}-j_{\alpha}\right) \\
\Omega_{q}=\Omega\left(t_{q}\right), \quad \omega_{q}=\omega\left(t_{q}\right) \quad(\alpha=1,2 ; \beta=1,2,3)
\end{gathered}
$$

The analysis of (3.14) shows that when condition

$$
2 \mu_{12}-3 h \mu_{11}=0
$$

is satisfied, set (3.12) contains a subset of algorithms of the fourth order of accuracy.
For constructing algorithms for calculating the apparent velocity in base $\mathbf{E}$ we use the solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(t)=S(t)\left[W\left(l_{0}\right)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} S^{-1}(\tau) a(\tau) d \tau\right] \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

of Eq. (1.1) and formula (3.11).
The set of algorithms of third order of accuracy derived on the basis of initial information (3.1) is determined by formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{W}_{N+m}=S_{N+m}\left[\mathbf{W}_{N}+\mathbf{b}_{N+m}+\sum_{\sigma=1}^{3} \alpha_{\sigma} \mathbf{p}_{I \sigma, i \sigma} \times \mathbf{b}_{J \sigma, j \sigma}+\alpha_{4} \mathbf{p}_{N+\rho \mathbf{1}} \times\left(\mathbf{p}_{N+\rho \mathbf{2}} \times \mathbf{b}_{N+\rho}\right)\right] \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

obtained on the assumption that in point $t=t_{N}$ vectors $\omega$ and a have second derivatives with respect to time.

In (3.16) $S_{N+m}$ is the increment of matrix $X$ of directional cosines over the step $m h$. The coefficients $\alpha_{\sigma}(\sigma=1,2,3)$ are obtained from the linear equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma \alpha=\mathbf{c}, \quad \Gamma=\left\{\gamma_{1 \sigma}\right\}, \quad \boldsymbol{\alpha}=\left\{\alpha_{\sigma}\right\} \quad(\mu, \sigma=1,2,3) \\
& \gamma_{1 \sigma}=\left(\left|I_{\sigma}\right|-\left|i_{\sigma}\right|\right)\left(\left|J_{\sigma}\right|-\left|j_{\sigma}\right|\right), \\
& \gamma_{2 \sigma}=\left(\left|I_{\sigma}\right| I_{\sigma}-\left|i_{\sigma}\right| i_{\sigma}\right)\left(\left|J_{\sigma}\right|-\left|j_{\sigma}\right|\right) \\
& \gamma_{3 \sigma}=\left(\left|J_{\sigma}\right| J_{\sigma} \cdots\left|j_{\sigma}\right| j_{\sigma}\right)\left(\left|I_{\sigma}\right|-\left|i_{\sigma}\right|\right), \quad \mathbf{c}=\| \|^{1 / 2} k^{22} / k^{2} k^{3} / 3^{3} k^{3} \|^{\prime} \\
& \alpha_{4}=1 / k_{\sigma} k^{3} /\left|\rho_{1} \rho_{2} \rho_{3}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

The error $\delta W_{N+m}$ over the step $m h$ calculated by formula (3.16) is defined by the relation

$$
\delta \mathbf{W}_{N+m}=\delta S_{N+m}\left(h^{4}\right) \mathbf{W}_{N}+\theta_{N+m}\left(h^{4}\right)
$$

where $0_{N+m}\left(h^{4}\right)$ is the error of calculation of the integral in (3.16) over the time interval $t \in\left[t_{N}, t_{N}+m h\right]$, and $\delta S_{N+m}\left(h^{4}\right)$ is the error of calculation of the increment $S_{N+m}$ of matrix $X$ over the same time interval. Explicit expressions for vector $\theta_{N+m}\left(h^{4}\right)$ and matrix $\delta S_{N+m}\left(h^{4}\right)$ are not adduced owing to their unwieldiness.
4. Example. Let us investigate the process of controlling the translational rotational motion of a solid body when $n=6$, taking the set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{1}}=\mathbf{a}_{2}=\left\|p_{1} 00\right\|_{,}, \mathbf{a}_{3}=\mathbf{a}_{3}=\left\|0 p_{2} 0\right\|^{\prime}, \quad \mathbf{a}_{5}=\mathbf{a}_{6}=\left\|00 p_{3}\right\|^{\prime} \\
& \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{1}}=-\mathbf{m}_{2}=\left\|0 \mu_{2} 0\right\|^{\prime}, \quad \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{3}}=-\mathbf{m}_{4}=\left\|00 \mu_{1}\right\|^{\prime}, \quad \mathbf{m}_{5}=-\mathbf{m}_{6}=\left\|\mu_{1} 00\right\|^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

as the vectors $a_{1}$ and $m_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, 6)$.
We introduce the notation

$$
m_{j}^{*} \ldots M_{j}{ }^{*} / \mu_{j}, \quad f_{j}^{*}=a_{j}^{*} / p_{j} \quad(j=1,2,3)
$$

If the parameters of control $u_{3}$ obtained with the use of relations

$$
u_{1,2}=1_{2}\left(f_{1}^{*} \pm m_{1}^{*}\right), \quad u_{9,4}=1 / 2\left(f_{2}^{*} \pm m_{2}^{*}\right), \quad u_{b, 6}=1 / 2\left(f_{3}^{*} \pm m_{3}^{*}\right)
$$

do not belong to set $U$, we obtain their values that satisfy condition $\mathbf{u} \in U$ using the results of Sect. 2 .

Since the rank of the system of constraints (2.9) is five, the linear form $l=a \operatorname{li}$ a function of a single variable, and the determination of its maximum $l^{*}$ does not present difficulties.

The set $\Lambda$ of possible orientations of unit vector $\lambda=\left\{\lambda_{1}, \hat{\lambda}_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right\}$ in base $E$ is in this case of the form of a combination of six subsets

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Lambda=\bigcup_{i=1}^{6} \Lambda_{i} \\
& \Lambda_{1}=\left\{\lambda: m_{2}{ }^{*} \lambda_{1} \geqslant 0, s_{1}-\left|\lambda_{2}\right| \leqslant \Phi_{3}, s_{2}-\left|\lambda_{9}\right| \leqslant \Phi_{1}, \lambda_{1} \neq 0\right\} \\
& \Lambda_{2}=\left\{\lambda: m_{2}{ }^{*} \lambda_{1}<0, s_{1}{ }^{+}\left|\lambda_{2}\right| \leqslant \Phi_{3}, s_{2}{ }^{+}\left|\lambda_{3}\right| \leqslant \Phi_{1}\right\} \\
& \Lambda_{s}=\left\{\lambda: m_{3}{ }^{*} \lambda_{2} \geqslant 0, s_{3}-\left|\lambda_{1}\right| \leqslant \Phi_{2}, s_{4}-\left|\lambda_{3}\right| \leqslant \Phi_{1}, \lambda_{2} \neq 0\right\} \\
& \Lambda_{4}=\left\{\lambda: m_{3}{ }^{*} \lambda_{2}<0, s_{3}{ }^{+}\left|\lambda_{1}\right| \leqslant \Phi_{2}, s_{4}{ }^{+}\left|\lambda_{3}\right| \leqslant \Phi_{1}\right\} \\
& \Lambda_{5}=\left\{\lambda: m_{1}{ }^{*} \lambda_{3} \geqslant 0, s_{5}{ }^{-}\left|\lambda_{1}\right| \leqslant \Phi_{2}, s_{8}-\left|\lambda_{2}\right| \leqslant \Phi_{3}, \lambda_{3} \neq 0\right\} \\
& \Lambda_{\mathrm{g}}=\left\{\lambda: m_{1}{ }^{*} \lambda_{3}<0, s_{5}{ }^{+}\left|\lambda_{1}\right| \leqslant \Phi_{2}, s_{6}{ }^{+}\left|\lambda_{2}\right| \leqslant \Phi_{3}\right\} \\
& \Phi_{j}=\max \left(2-m_{j}{ }^{*}, 2+m_{j}{ }^{*}\right), \quad i=1,2,3 \\
& s_{1}^{ \pm}=\frac{p_{3}}{p_{2}} s_{2}^{ \pm}=\frac{p_{1}}{p_{2}}\left(\frac{2}{\left|\lambda_{1}\right|} \pm \frac{m_{2}^{*}}{\lambda_{1}}\right), \quad s_{3}^{ \pm}=\frac{p_{3}}{p_{1}} s_{4}^{ \pm}=\frac{p_{2}}{p_{1}}\left(\frac{2}{\left|\lambda_{2}\right|} \pm \frac{m_{3}^{*}}{\lambda_{2}}\right) \\
& s_{s}^{ \pm}=\frac{p_{2}}{p_{1}}{ }_{8}^{ \pm}=\frac{p_{3}}{p_{1}}\left(\frac{2}{\left|\lambda_{3}\right|} \pm \frac{m_{1}{ }^{*}}{\lambda_{3}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

each of which has its proper solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Lambda_{1}: u_{1}^{\circ}=\lambda_{1}{ }^{\circ}, u_{2}^{\circ}=\lambda_{1}{ }^{\circ}-m_{2}^{*}, \quad u_{3,4}^{\circ}=1 / 2\left(s_{1}-\lambda_{2} \pm m_{3}{ }^{*}\right), \\
& u_{5,6}^{0}=1 / 2\left(s_{2}-\lambda_{3} \pm m_{1}^{*}\right) \\
& \Lambda_{2}: u_{1}^{\circ}=\lambda_{1}^{\circ}+m_{2}^{*}, \quad u_{2}^{\circ}=\lambda_{1}^{\circ}, \quad u_{3,4}^{\circ}=1 / 2\left(s_{1}+\lambda_{2} \pm m_{3}^{*}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

```
\(u_{\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{6}}^{0}=1_{i, 2}\left(s_{2}+\lambda_{3} \pm m_{1}{ }^{*}\right)\)
\(\Lambda_{3}: u_{1,2}^{0}==1 / 2\left(s_{3}^{-} \lambda_{1} \pm m_{2}{ }^{*}\right), \quad u_{3}{ }^{0}=\lambda_{2}{ }^{0}, u_{1}^{5}=\lambda_{2}{ }^{*}-m_{3}{ }^{*}\),
\(u_{5,6}^{*}=1 / 2\left(s_{5}-\lambda_{3} \pm m_{1}{ }^{*}\right)\)
\(\Lambda_{4}: u_{1,2}^{0}=1 / 2\left(s_{3}{ }^{+} \lambda_{1} \pm m_{2}{ }^{*}\right), \quad u_{3}{ }^{\circ}=\lambda_{2}{ }^{\circ}+m_{3}{ }^{*}, \quad u_{1}{ }^{\circ}=\lambda_{2}{ }^{c}\),
\(u_{5,8}^{\circ}=1 / 2\left(s_{1}+\lambda_{3} \pm m_{1}{ }^{*}\right)\)
\(\Lambda_{5}: u_{1.2}^{0}=1 / 2\left(s_{5}-\lambda_{1} \pm m_{2}{ }^{*}\right), \quad u_{3,4}^{\prime}=1 / 2\left(s_{8}-\lambda_{2} \pm m_{3}{ }^{*}\right)\),
\(u_{5}{ }^{\circ}=\lambda_{8}{ }^{\circ}, u_{6}{ }^{\circ}=\lambda_{3}{ }^{\circ}-m_{1}{ }^{*}\)
\(\Lambda_{8}: u_{1,2}^{0}=1 / 2\left(s_{0}+\lambda_{1} \pm m_{2}^{*}\right), u_{3,4}^{0}-1 / 2\left(s_{\mathrm{c}}+\lambda_{2} \pm m_{3}{ }^{*}\right)\),
\(u_{5}{ }^{c}=\lambda_{3}{ }^{\circ}+m_{1}{ }^{*}, \quad u_{6}{ }^{\circ}=\dot{\lambda}_{3}{ }^{c}\)
\(\left(\lambda_{j}{ }^{\circ}=\lambda_{j} / \| \lambda_{j} \mid\right)\)
```

which are valid for $\left|m_{j}{ }^{*}\right| \leqslant 2(j=1,2,3)$.
The maximum values $l_{i}{ }^{*}$ of the linear form $l$ in the above subsets are, respectively,

$$
l_{1,2}^{*}=p_{2} s_{1}^{\mp}, \quad l_{3,1}^{*}=\mu_{1} s_{s}^{\mp}, \quad l_{j, 0}^{*}=p_{1} s_{j}^{\mp}
$$

In modelling the control of a solid body motion whose orientation in the intertial space was defined by the quaternion $T=\left\{\tau_{0}, \tau_{1}, \tau_{3}, \tau_{3}\right\}$, the process of imparting the apparent velocity


Fig. 1
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